

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

United States of America,	)	CRIMINAL NO. 3:08-4-CMC
	)	
v.	)	<b>OPINION and ORDER</b>
	)	
Cynthia Lemon,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

This matter is before the court on Defendant's letter, filed April 22, 2010, wherein she seeks reconsideration of the sentence imposed by this court on September 4, 2008. *See* Dkt. # 84. This motion is repetitive of two previous motions filed by Defendant. *See* Dkt. Nos. 78, 84.

Rule 35(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure permits a court to "correct a sentence that resulted from arithmetical, technical, or other clear error" within seven days after the oral announcement of the sentence. *See* Rules 35(a) and (c), Fed.R.Crim.P. The sentence was orally announced on September 4, 2008, and, accordingly, the deadline for any action by the court on a Rule 35(a) motion is well past. Therefore, the court is without jurisdiction to act upon Defendant's motion in this regard.

Apart from Rule 35(a), a district court has no jurisdiction to alter a defendant's term of imprisonment except as authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3582 or 18 U.S.C. § 3742.

Title 18 United States Code § 3582(c) limits the court's authority to modify a final judgment that includes a sentence of imprisonment to three specific circumstances. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) (the court can modify a judgment (1) upon motion of the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") for statutorily-specified reasons, (2) upon motion of the government for substantial assistance, or (3) upon motion of the defendant or the BOP, or upon the court's own motion, because of a

subsequent lowering of the applicable sentencing range.). None of these circumstances applies to Defendant.

After an appeal, the court can modify a sentence if the sentence is found by the appellate court to have been imposed in violation of law or imposed as a result of an incorrect application of the sentencing guidelines, as provided for in 18 U.S.C. § 3742. Defendant did not appeal her conviction or sentence.

Defendant's motion does not allege that any of these circumstances applies; rather, Defendant seeks to revisit issues addressed at sentencing. The court lacks jurisdiction to modify Defendant's sentence.

Defendant's motion is denied for lack of jurisdiction.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
April 29, 2010